	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
4-4	10/003,069	SHIOMI ET AL. Art Unit						
Interview Summary	Examiner							
	CESAR B. PAULA	2178						
All participants (applicant, applicant's represen	ntative, PTO personnel):							
CESAR B. PAULA. (3)SHINYA HIRATA.								
2) <u>SCOTT WAKEMAN</u> .	SCOTT WAKEMAN. (4)							
Date of Interview: 26 May 2005.								
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Cont c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ a	ference applicant 2)⊠ applicant's represe	ntative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: of If Yes, brief description:	d)∐ Yes e)⊠ No.							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 8, 12, 34, 37, AND 39</u> .								
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>JUDSON</u> .	•							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was	reached. g)⊠ was not reached. I	h)□ N/A.						
reached, or any other comments: DISCUSSED MOUNTED MEDIUM CLAIM 1 LANGUAGE. PMOUNTED MEDIUM' WITH 'NON-VOLATILE" BEFORE ALLOWING EXECUTION OF PROGRAMMENT OF PROGRAMMENT OF THE ASSISTANCE OF THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LASSING ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A ST	PROPOSED CLAIM LANGUAGE REPARS TO OVERCOME JUDSO STRAM DATA WAS ALSO DISCUSSED IN THE AMERICAN TO THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE M	EPLACING THE DETACHABLY ON. REQUIRING USER INPUT ED. There agreed would render the claim that would render the claims E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICANT IS F THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW. See						
Summary of Record of Interview requirements of	on reverse side or on attached sheet	.						
		Gosan BPaul						
	· ·	CESAR PAULA						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Phone: (703) 205-8000 Fax: (703) 205-8050 (703) 698-8590 (GIV)

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP



To:	Examiner Cesar Paula	From:	Charles Gorenstein (STW)		
	USPTO Art Unit 2178				
Faxe	571-273-4128	Date:	May 23, 2005		
Phone:	571-272-2128	Pages:	3 (including cover sheet)		
Your Ref.:	Apin. No. 10/003,069	Our Ref.:	0033-0778P		
Re:	Masashi SHIOMI et al.	CC:			
Urgent	☑ For Review ☐ Plea	se Comment [Please Reply Please Recycle		
Is privileged, of distribution, or prohibited. If w	confidential, and exempt from disclosul duplication of this transmission by sort	re under applicable law neone other than the Inf or, please notify this fiπ	om it is addressed, and may contain Information that v. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, tended addressee or its designated agent is strictly in immediately by collect call to (703) 205-8000, and		
Comments					

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Initiated Interv							
Application No.: 10 Examiner: Cesar I)/003,069 3. Paula	First Named Applic Art Unit: 2178	ant: <u>Masashi</u> Status of App	SHIOMI lication: Under Reje	er Final				
Tentative Participas (1) Scott Wakema	n, #37,750								
(3)		(4)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		Proposed Time: 11:00 (AMPRIM)							
Type of Interview R (1) [] Telephonic	lequested: (2) ^X Persona	al (3)[]Vid	eo Conference						
Exhibit To Be Show If yes, provide brief	n or Demonstrate description:	ed: []YES	ON (X)						
	Issues To Be Discussed								
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agree				
(1) Rejection	_1	Art Judson	[]	[]	[]				
(2) Rejection			Ü	[]	[]				
(3) Rejection	<u>34, 37,</u> 39	Judson		[]	[]				
(4) [] Continuation Sh			[]	[]	[]				
[] Continuation Sh	eet Attached								
Brief Description of	f Arguments to be	e Presented:	CHED)						
			•1 4		·				
An interview was c	onducted on the a bould be completed	bove-identified app by applicant and sub	mitted to the exami	iner in advance	of the interview				
(see MPEP § 713.01).	, not be delived from	n issue hosause of an	licant's failure to s	ubmit a written	record of this				
interview. Therefore	, applicant is advise	ed to file a statement	of the substance of (this interview (3	17 CFR 1.133(D)				
as soon as possible.	14 (/20)	1							
Applicant/Applic	ant's Representati	ve Signature	Exam	niner/SPE Sign	nature				
Charles Goren	stein	·							
Typed/Printed Nam	e of Applicant or l	Representative							
29,271	n Number, if appl								

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain ar rotain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 admittes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the complete this form until vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form until vary suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademurk Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Bux 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

10/003,069 PTO-413A Continuation Sheet

Brief Description of Arguments to be presented:

I would like to discuss the following issues at our interview:

- 1) A RAM chip is not a detachably mounted storage medium. Without power, it cannot store information "in advance."
- 2) I would like to propose an amendment to claims 8 and 12 to require a "nonvolatile" storage device.
- 3) In connection with claims 34, 37 and 39, I would like to discuss the relationship between the requirement for "executable program data" and the need for user input required by these claims.